Selective landscapes in newt immune genes inferred from patterns of nucleotide variation

A. Fijarczyk^{1*}, K. Dudek¹, W. Babik^{1*}

¹Institute of Environmental Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Gronostajowa 7, 30-387 Kraków, Poland

*Authors for correspondence: Anna Fijarczyk, Institute of Environmental Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland, telephone: +48 12 664 51 41, fax: +48 12 664 69 12, anna.fijarczyk@uj.edu.pl Downloaded from http://gbe.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on October 13, 2016

Wiesław Babik, Institute of Environmental Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland, telephone: +48 12 664 51 71, fax: +48 12 664 69 12, wieslaw.babik@uj.edu.pl

Data deposition:

Reads are available in GenBank SRA repository under accession number: SRP080109.

© The Author(s) 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Host-pathogen interactions may result in either directional selection or in pressure for the maintenance of polymorphism at the molecular level. Hence signatures of both positive and balancing selection are expected in immune genes. Because both overall selective pressure and specific targets may differ between species, large-scale population genomic studies are useful in detecting functionally important immune genes and comparing selective landscapes between taxa. Such studies are of particular interest in amphibians, a group threatened worldwide by emerging infectious diseases. Here we present an analysis of polymorphism and divergence of 634 immune genes in two lineages of *Lissotriton* newts: L. montandoni and L. vulgaris graecus. Variation in newt immune genes has been shaped predominantly by widespread purifying selection and strong evolutionary constraint, implying longterm importance of these genes for functioning of the immune system. The two evolutionary lineages differ in the overall strength of purifying selection which can partially be explained by demographic history but may also signal differences in long-term pathogen pressure. The prevalent constraint notwithstanding, 23 putative targets of positive selection and 11 putative targets of balancing selection were identified. The latter were detected by composite tests involving the demographic model and further validated in independent population samples. Putative targets of balancing selection encode proteins which may interact closely with pathogens but include also regulators of immune response. The identified candidates will be useful for testing whether genes affected by balancing selection are more prone to interspecific introgression than other genes in the genome.

Keywords:

Balancing selection, positive selection, immune genes, demographic model, Lissotriton, newts

Introduction

Pressures exerted by diverse pathogens are the source of selection resulting in rapid evolutionary changes of host molecules, components of both innate and adaptive immunity (Boehm 2012; Quintana-Murci & Clark 2013). Evidence for adaptive evolution of immune genes is ample (Obbard et al. 2009; Spurgin & Richardson 2010) and both experimental (Eizaguirre et al. 2009) and correlational (Fumagalli et al. 2011) studies point to pathogens as causal factors. However establishing direct and robust links between genetic variation and immunity to pathogens is challenging and requires laborious experiments. Promising candidates for such in-depth studies may be identified by examining patterns of variation within and divergence between species in many genes. A clear advantage of such genomic scans is their ability to screen a large number of potential targets, even if their functions are incompletely understood. Two other advantages of genomic scans are equally important. First, because signatures generated by selection are persistent, targets of historical selection can also be identified providing insights over evolutionary timescales. Second, genomic scans allow meaningful comparison of selection targets and overall selective landscapes between species.

The mode of natural selection can be inferred from patterns of sequence variation and the inferred mode of selection correlates with functional relevance of genes (Quintana-Murci & Clark 2013). Strong purifying selection characterizes molecules indispensable for functioning of the immune system, whereas weak purifying selection is a signature of functional redundancy (Barreiro et al. 2009; Harpur & Zayed 2013). Pathogens can exert directional pressures on host resistance molecules leaving signatures of positive selection in the genome. Most evidence comes from studies on primates and other mammals, where positive selection has been detected e.g. in Toll-like receptor (TLR) genes (Barreiro et al. 2009; Wlasiuk & Nachman 2010), chemokine receptor genes (Metzger & Thomas 2010), defensins (Das et al. 2010) or genes involved in resistance to malaria (Hedrick 2011). However the influence of pathogens can be more complex if adaptations are costly, pathogen genes respond to host evolution and vice versa, leading to coevolutionary arms-races, or if interactions with the host are temporary. Hence also balancing selection (BS) is expected to operate and maintain high variation in some immune genes through mechanisms of overdominance, negative frequency-dependent selection

3

or temporally and spatially fluctuating selection. Some studies suggest a major role of BS in the evolution of immunity-related genes (reviewed in Azevedo et al. 2015; Těšický & Vinkler 2015) and good examples of genes under BS exist in a wide spectrum of taxa (Cagliani et al. 2008; Hedrick 2011; Linnenbrink et al. 2011; Tarazona-Santos et al. 2013). However, genome-wide scans e.g. in humans identify relatively few examples (Leffler et al. 2013; DeGiorgio et al. 2014; Teixeira et al. 2015), and most evidence comes from MHC genes which are somewhat atypical with extreme and unambiguous signatures of long-term BS. It is thus possible that in any given species BS affects only a handful of genes.

In spite of a wide interest in adaptive evolution of immune genes, population genomic studies are underrepresented in non-model organisms including vertebrates (Boehm 2012). Studies looking at the impact of selection on vertebrate immune genes have focused so far on expression changes after pathogen infection (Savage et al. 2014), comparison of immune repertoires between vertebrate taxa (You et al. 2014) or inferences of selection based on sequence divergence between taxa (Ekblom et al. 2010). Despite the importance of the clawed frogs of genus Xenopus as a model for evolutionary and comparative immunobiology (Robert & Cohen 2011), patterns of variation and selective landscapes in amphibian immune genes are poorly known. This is unfortunate as amphibians are undergoing a huge biodiversity crisis caused in no small part by emerging infectious diseases, in particular chytridiomycosis (Fisher et al. 2012; Martel et al. 2014; Berger et al. 2016). Not all species (Martel et al. 2014) or even regional amphibian faunas (Bataille et al. 2013) appear equally vulnerable and variation in susceptibility clearly has a genetic component (Savage & Zamudio 2011; Bataille et al. 2015). As a consequence, there has been an interest in identifying targets of selection by experimental and comparative studies (Ellison et al. 2015; Bataille et al. 2015). However population genomic analyses of selection acting on immune genes, which are invaluable for setting such efforts in a broader context, have lagged behind. The few studies performed so far have been limited in scope and focused on MHC genes (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2012), antimicrobial peptides (Tennessen & Blouin 2008) and Toll-like receptor (TLR) genes (Babik et al. 2015).

Downloaded from http://gbe.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on October 13, 2016

Here we examine sequence polymorphism and divergence in hundreds of genes involved in immune response and infer patterns of selection in two evolutionary lineages of Lissotriton newts. Lissotriton montandoni (Lm) and L. vulgaris graecus (Lvg) have allopatric distributions in the Carpathians and southern Balkans, respectively. There is little evidence for genetic exchange between them after the divergence dated to the Pliocene (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2012; Pabijan et al. 2015). Lissotriton montandoni has however exchanged genes with other evolutionary lineages of L. vulgaris (Lv, Babik et al. 2005; Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2012; Zieliński et al. 2014, 2013, 2016). The higher MHC class II variation in Lm has been attributed to introgression from Lv (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2012). Lm and Lvg show similar variation in TLR genes but selective regimes in these genes differ between the species with both purifying and positive selection stronger in Lvg (Babik et al. 2015). If TLRs are representative of other immune genes, we expect to find evidence for stronger overall selective pressures in Lvg than in Lm. We also predict that, because host-pathogen interactions may lead to the maintenance of polymorphism, targets of BS would be found among the analyzed genes. We aimed specifically to: i) identify immune genes in *Lissotriton* transcriptomes with emphasis on single copy genes; ii) examine levels of polymorphism within and divergence between Lm and Lvg using targeted resequencing; iii) characterize patterns of selection and constraint in these genes within and between species and iv) identify targets of positive and balancing selection, while controlling for the confounding effects of demography.

Downloaded from http://gbe.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on October 13, 2016

Material and Methods

Identification of immune genes in the newt transcriptome

Lissotriton reference transcriptome

Coding sequences (ORFs) of newt immune genes were identified in de novo assembled *Lissotriton vulgaris* reference transcriptome. Spleen (two individuals, 108 million (M) pairs of 100 bp Illumina reads) and liver (six individuals, 195 M pairs of 100 bp reads) transcriptomes were assembled separately with Trinity (release 2012-10-05). Both assemblies were merged and redundancy was removed following the approach of Stuglik et al. (2014). This reference transcriptome contained transcriptome-based gene models, i.e. ideally a single sequence per gene containing all its exons. The most recent assembly of the *Lissotriton* liver and spleen transcriptome is available at <u>http://newtbase.eko.uj.edu.pl</u>.

Database of tetrapod immune proteins

A nonredundant set of tetrapod immune proteins was compiled as follows. The names and IDs of human genes involved in immune response (GO:000695 "Immune Response") were obtained from Ensembl (release 69). This set was complemented with additional genes from the Immunome Knowledge Base (Ortutay & Vihinen 2009, <u>http://structure.bmc.lu.se/idbase/IKB/</u>) and InnateDB (Breuer et al. 2013, <u>http://www.innatedb.com</u>) databases giving the total of 2037 genes. *Xenopus tropicalis* orthologs of these genes were identified in Ensembl yielding a list of 1438 *X. tropicalis* gene IDs, which was augmented with 56 *X. tropicalis* genes from the ImmunomeKB and Ensembl. Additional 22 immune genes identified in the chicken genome and 5 genes identified in the *Anolis* lizard genome were also included. For each species the longest protein per gene was retrieved from Ensembl and within species self blastp was used to remove the remaining redundancy: only one of the proteins exhibiting > 90% amino acid identity was retained. Datasets from the four species were merged so that a given set of putative orthologs was represented by *X. tropicalis* when available, otherwise by the human protein, and in a few cases, when no ortholog was found in human or *X*.

tropicalis, by chicken or the *Anolis* lizard proteins. The final set, used as the database of tetrapod immune genes against which the newt reference transcriptome was searched, comprised 1990 protein sequences. We used rather inclusive criteria for classifying genes as "immune", as some genes assigned to the broad GO category "Immune response" may affect immune system only indirectly. However such approach minimized the risk of missing genes important for the function of salamander immune system, which is poorly understood.

Identification of putative immune genes in the Lissotriton reference transcriptome

Putative newt orthologs of the tetrapod immune genes were identified in the newt transcriptome as best reciprocal blast hits. Blastx searches used newt transcripts as queries and tblastn searches used tetrapod immune proteins as queries, both at the E-value threshold of 10^{-20} . Only queries having a unique best hit in the database were retained. The hit was defined as unique if the bitscore (summed across all hsps) of the second best hit was not higher than $0.75 \times$ bitscore value of the best hit. In such approach most immune gene families comprising recently diverged members were probably excluded from the analysis. In principle this is undesirable as many genes important for the immune response do form families characterized by a considerable rate of gene duplication. However given limitations of the currently available technologies, large scale analysis of such gene families would not be possible without a high quality newt genome which is not available. Therefore we focused on putatively single copy immune genes; 775 complete ORFs of the total length of 1.39 Mb were identified (supplementary table S1). To reduce the target length below 1Mb, 141 genes were removed, yielding the final set of 634 putative newt immune genes which were analyzed via targeted resequencing. The probes for sequence capture were 80 bp biotinylated RNA oligonucleotides (MYcroarray) tiled every 48 bp on the ORF sequences.

Sequence capture and estimation of polymorphism and divergence

Samples

Sequence capture was performed on 25 individuals of *L. montandoni* (Lm) and 25 individuals of *L. vulgaris graecus* (Lvg); L. *helveticus* (Lh) was used as an outgroup (supplementary table S2). Adult newts were sampled by dip-netting during breeding season. Animals were released after tailtips were collected. Tissue samples were stored in 95% ethanol until DNA extraction. DNA was extracted using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega).

Intraspecific genetic structure may confound population genetic inferences if not taken into account. To minimize adverse effects of genetic structure sampling scheme should be carefully adjusted, because various sampling schemes may substantially affect measures of variation and shape of the site frequency spectrum (Stadler et al. 2009). Newts form discrete demes corresponding to breeding ponds, such demes undergo extinction and recolonization, and thus the regional population can be considered a metapopulation (Smith & Green 2005). It has been shown (Wakeley 2004) that if one gene copy per locus is sampled per deme in a metapopulation consisting of many demes, the ancestral process producing such sample is identical to the unstructured coalescent process, if time is rescaled appropriately. We approximated this optimal strategy by sampling each newt from a separate locality (supplementary table S2).

Sequence capture and sequencing

Genomic DNA (5-6 ug) was sheared to ca. 200 bp fragments using Bioruptor Plus (Diagenode). Indexed genomic libraries were prepared with NEBNext DNA Library Prep Master Mix Set for Illumina (NEB) following the manufacturer's protocol with modifications at the steps of size selection and library amplification (details in Supplementary Material online) and 10 PCR cycles. Sequence enrichment was performed using MYbaits Custom Target Capture Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol with some modifications (details in Supplementary Material online). Capture products were sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform, producing 2×75 bp paired-end reads.

Mapping

Lm and Lvg reads were mapped separately to the reference ORFs (http://newtbase.eko.uj.edu.pl/) using Bowtie 2 (Langmead & Salzberg 2012). Local alignment was performed to exclude fragments of the reads derived from captured intronic sequences flanking the targeted exons. The following mapping settings were used: very-sensitive-local --no-unal --score-min G,20,10 -p 10. PCR duplicates were removed with Picard Tools and the remaining reads were realigned around indels with SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). For Lh we mapped jointly liver RNAseq reads from a single individual (9.8 mln 2 × 100 bp Illumina reads) and sequence capture reads from two individuals. The Lh alignment was thus a composite of three individuals and comprised both cDNA and gDNA data. Coverage for each sample was calculated using BEDtools (Quinlan & Hall 2010). For Lm and Lvg samples capture efficiency was calculated as the percentage of reads mapped to the target, specificity as the percentage of unique mapped reads and sensitivity as the percentage of target covered by at least one mapped read.

Sequence variation and divergence

Most measures of variation and divergence were estimated directly from genotype likelihoods bypassing the genotype calling step. Such approach is preferred when coverage is limited (Nielsen et al. 2012). Site frequency spectra (SFS) and various statistics described below were calculated with ANGSD (Korneliussen et al. 2014) and ngsTools (Fumagalli et al. 2014).

To identify putative paralogs each polymorphic position was tested, separately for Lm and Lvg, for an excess of heterozygotes compared to the Hardy-Weinberg expectations. To perform this test we did call genotypes using ANGSD, but these genotypes were not used in any other analysis. Allele frequencies were estimated from SFS in ANGSD. Observed and expected heterozygosities were compared using the Fisher's exact test. Genes showing an excess of heterozygotes at any position in any species at FDR 0.05 were discarded as paralogs.

Reference sequences of Lm, Lvg, and Lh were constructed to estimate sequence divergence, calculate mutation rates and identify ancestral variants. For each species the reference sequence was built including in each position the major allele as identified by ANGSD. Divergence (d_{xy}) was estimated as the per site number of differences between reference sequences. Per site mutation rate

was estimated for each gene using the average divergence from the outgroup and Lh vs. Lm/Lvg divergence time of 4.6×10^6 generations (Pabijan et al. 2015). Mutation rate was estimated for all sites as well as separately for zero- (ZFD) and fourfold degenerate sites (FFD).

Genotype likelihoods were calculated with the Empirical Bayes approach and the SYK method in ANGSD as described in Kim et al. 2011, and the global, unfolded SFS was estimated using Lh reference sequence to represent the ancestral states. The only filtering options were minimum mapping quality of 1, and minimum base quality of 20. Per locus estimates of the following statistics were obtained: nucleotide diversity (π), total number of segregating sites within species (S), segregating sites private in each species (Sx), shared (Ss), fixed (Sf) sites, Tajima's D, Fu & Li's F, Fu & Li's D, and Fst between species. This dataset, further referred to as ungenotyped, formed the basis of most analyses.

Identification of genes under BS (see below) involved the McDonald-Kreitman (MK) test. This test is normally performed on counts of synonymous and nonsynonymous polymorphisms and fixed differences obtained from called genotypes. A reasonable genotype quality requires substantial coverage so a large amount of potentially useable data is discarded if coverage is variable as was in our case. More precise information about polymorphism is available for ZFD and FFD sites but these are just approximation for synonymous and nonsynonymous sites. As neither of these approaches is ideal, we present results from both to extract as much information as possible from the MK test. Genotypes for each site were inferred using SAMtools mpileup and VCFtools, positions with the minimum genotype quality of 20 and minimum coverage 8× were retained and exported to fasta alignments. Numbers of segregating polymorphisms and fixed differences at synonymous and nonsynonymous positions were calculated using mstatspop

(http://bioinformatics.cragenomica.es/numgenomics/people/sebas/software/software.html). We refer to this dataset as genotyped data. All statistics in this study were calculated for genes with at least 30 called bp in the category of interest (all sites, ZFD, FFD, synonymous and nonsynonymous sites).

Tests of selection

Null distributions of statistics used in tests of selection

Null distributions of statistics used in tests of selection were calculated from datasets simulated with ms (Hudson 2002) under the estimated demographic model (Supplementary Material online). A third population (Lh, of constant size 10^5) was added to our two-population divergence model to simulate divergence from an outgroup. We used the time of Lh divergence of 18.4 mya (Pabijan et al. 2015) or 4.6×10^6 generations ago, assuming generation time of 4 years (Nadachowska & Babik 2009). For each locus 10^4 datasets were simulated, separately for ZFD and FFD sites. In each simulation mutation rate for each class of sites was randomly sampled from gamma distribution with mean and variance equal to the mean and variance of mutation rates across loci. All statistics were calculated with mstatspop.

Selection and constraint in newt immune genes

Selection and constraint were assessed within species and compared between species using SnIPRE (Eilertson et al. 2012). This method estimates mutation rates, divergence, constraint and selection effects simultaneously using genome-wide data without referring to any specific demographic model. The method models parameters using counts from the MK table, i.e. P_N , D_S , P_S and D_N , where P and D denote the numbers of polymorphisms within species and fixed differences between species, whereas N and S refer to nonsynonymous and synonymous mutations respectively. For this analysis we used numbers of segregating sites and fixed differences from Lh estimated per gene at ZFD and FFD sites. The program was run in the fully Bayesian mode, with 10⁵ iterations of the MCMC sampler after 2 × 10⁴ iterations of burn-in and thinning parameter set to 4.

We also estimated the distribution of fitness effects of nonsynonymous mutations using the method of Eyre-Walker et al. (2006), which explores information from synonymous and nonsynonymous allele frequency spectra. In this approach all nonsynonymous mutations are assumed to be deleterious, and the strength of purifying selection has gamma distribution. No underlying demographic model is assumed, any effect of demographic change on frequency spectra influences

Downloaded from http://gbe.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on October 13, 2016

synonymous and nonsynonymous sites identically. We used estimates of site frequency spectra in ZFD and FFD sites across all genes. The analysis was run for 10⁶ steps with a burn-in of 10⁵.

Identification of genes under balancing selection

We devoted special attention to identification of putative targets of BS because this mode of selection plays an important role in shaping variation of immune genes. Signatures left in genetic data by BS are difficult to identify unambiguously as they can be confounded with other forms of selection, nonequilibrium demography or can result from stochasticity of the genealogical process (Fijarczyk & Babik 2015). Scans for BS should therefore rely on statistics or composite tests which simultaneously consider different lines of evidence. We performed two composite tests to identify candidate BS genes, each separately for Lm and Lvg. The final set of candidates is the sum of those identified by test 1 and test 2. We tested for enrichment of GO categories among candidate genes using GOrilla (Eden et al. 2009).

Test 1 identified genes showing an excess of nonsynonymous polymorphisms segregating at intermediate frequencies which is expected under BS. Hence two conditions had to be met simultaneously: i) an excess of nonsynonymous polymorphisms, ii) no excess of rare nonsynonymous polymorphisms (this removes the effect of slightly deleterious variants segregating at low frequencies). The MK test was performed to evaluate the first condition. Its result can be summarized with a neutrality index, NI = $P_N/P_S \times D_S/D_N$. A significant MK test with NI > 1 indicates an excess of nonsynonymous polymorphisms and thus either balancing or purifying selection. The MK test was conducted on genotyped and ungenotyped data and its statistical significance was assessed with the Fisher's exact test. The second condition was imposed by filtering out genes with significantly negative Tajima's D in ZFD sites. Results from test 1 on ungenotyped data were compared with an alternative approach in which low-frequency variants (singletons and doubletons) were removed prior to the MK test. We observed large overlap between the approaches and thus present only the results of test 1. Test 2 identified genes with a high ratio of polymorphism to divergence, showing at the same

time an excess of polymorphisms segregating at high frequencies. Thus candidates identified in test 2 were those genes which showed significantly high π/d_{xy} ratio and significantly positive values of any of the three statistics: Tajima's D, Fu & Li's F or Fu & Li's D. *P*-values of all statistics were determined with 10⁴ coalescent simulations as described above. Test 2 was conducted separately for ZFD and FFD sites.

We further evaluated evidence for BS in candidate genes by analyzing patterns of variation in high quality resequencing data from population samples and comparing them with control genes (CR) randomly selected from the transcriptome. One Lm and one Lvg population sample (19 individuals each) were analyzed. Resequencing was performed with Molecular Inversion Probes (MIP, Niedzicka et al. 2016, Supplementary Material online, supplementary table S3). MIPs were designed from transcripts with exon boundaries identified through blast searches against X. tropicalis gene models (Niedzicka et al. 2016). Because many vertebrate exons are short, to maximize the length of the target available for MIP design in candidate BS genes, sequence capture reads were assembled into contigs using Trinity (release v2.0.4). Such contigs contained exons and flanking intron fragments (see Supplementary Material online). One to three non-overlapping MIPs (112-336 bp) per gene were resequenced in 88 CR genes (175 MIPs, 19.6 kb). We aimed to cover as much ORFs of BS genes as possible and finally resequenced 270 MIPs (18.4 kb) in 32 candidate genes. Reads were mapped to their respective references (i.e. transcripts or sequence capture contigs) using bwa-mips (Pedersen 2014). Reads mapped to sequence capture contigs were extracted and mapped back to the ORFs using local alignment in Bowtie 2. Within-gene alignments were subsequently merged. MIPs with coverage \geq 12× in at least 85% of individuals in a population were considered successfully resequenced. Genotypes were called using GATK UnifiedGenotyper (McKenna et al. 2010) with the following settings: -T UnifiedGenotyper -dfrac 1 -pcr error 5.0E-3 -mbg 20 -stand call conf 20.0 -out mode EMIT_ALL_SITES -gt_mode DISCOVERY. Haplotypes were inferred with PHASE (Stephens & Donnelly 2003) and unphased positions were resolved randomly. Positions with > 25% missing data per population were removed. We applied stringent filters to remove paralogs which might have escaped primary quality filters in sequence capture data. Firstly, the number of heterozygotes within

populations was compared with the Hardy-Weinberg expectation using the Fisher's exact test, and genes with excess of heterozygotes significant at FDR 0.05 were flagged as putative paralogs. Secondly, because all positions within a single MIP target have very similar coverage, presence of more than two alleles per individual could be detected by looking at differences in allele counts between polymorphic positions located in a single MIP. Significant differences in allele counts indicate the difference in the number of gene copies between positions and thus paralogy. Thirdly, MIPs were also resequenced in two laboratory hybrid families (*L. montandoni/L. vulgaris* F1 hybrids and 111/114 F2 progeny in two families) to test for Mendelian segregation. The presence of positions departing from Mendelian expectations at FDR 0.05 and showing an excess of heterozygotes was considered a sign of paralogy. Taken together, these paralog filters were very strict with a potential to remove, for example, genes showing even modest copy number variation. These strict filters were necessary to exclude as many putative paralogs as possible, as they are likely to generate false signals of BS.

Tajima's D, π , d_{xy}, number of segregating and shared polymorphisms were calculated in mstatspop. Sliding window estimates of Tajima's D along genes and number of haplotypes were obtained in DnaSPv5 (Librado & Rozas 2009). To compare selective pressures between species in BS genes we used a population genetics-phylogenetics gammamap method which relies on information about polymorphism within and divergence between species (Wilson et al. 2011). The method infers distribution of fitness effects (DFE) of nonsynonymous mutations within species as well as variation in selection coefficients along genes. Priors of model parameters were set as in Wilson et al. (2011). Each lineage (Lm, Lvg and Lh) was assigned a separate prior for sliding window smoothing parameter (p), transition:transversion ratio (κ), branch length (T) and DFE. κ and T had improper log-uniform priors and p had uniform prior within interval (0, 1). Uninformative, symmetric Dirichlet prior was set on DFE, with 12 equally likely classes corresponding to different levels of fitness, from inviable to highly beneficial (γ = -500, -100, -50, -10, -5, -1, 0, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100). Log-normal priors were set on population-scaled mutation rate (θ) for each lineage and each gene, with hyperparameters mean μ (improper uniform prior) and standard deviation σ (log-normal prior with mean 0 and standard

deviation 2). Posterior probabilities of population-scaled selection coefficient γ ($\gamma = P*Ne*s$, where P is ploidy, Ne is effective population size and s is selective advantage of a derived nonsynonymous mutation) were collected from 4 MCMC runs, each 2×10^6 steps long excluding 5×10^5 steps of burn-in. Separate chains were investigated for convergence, and final estimates were derived from merging all runs together. Codons in which posterior probability of $\gamma \ge 1$ was ≥ 0.75 were considered under positive selection.

Results

Sequence capture and patterns of variation

The ORFs of 634 immunes genes used for sequence capture ranged from 300 to 10,671 bp, averaging $1,560 \pm (SD) 1,055$ bp; the total target length was 989.3 kb (supplementary table S1). The average capture efficiency was $46\% \pm 8.2$ (supplementary table S2). Capture specificity, i.e. the percentage of unique reads on target was $21 \pm 3.1\%$, and capture sensitivity, i.e. the fraction of the target covered by at least one read was $93 \pm 1.8\%$ (supplementary table S2). Median coverage after discarding duplicates was as high as $11 \pm 3.3 \times$ (mean $38.5 \pm 8.9 \times$), we noted however a substantial variance in coverage along the reference, probably due to variation between probes in capture efficiency (supplementary table S4). Lh alignment contained reads from three individuals and included RNAseq data, thus the total coverage was high, with a median of $39 \times$ and 99% the target covered. SNPs with an excess of heterozygotes were found in 109 Lvg and 120 Lm genes, mostly common to both species. These 135 putative paralogs were excluded from further analyses. Two additional genes were excluded due to the lack of outgroup information.

Patterns of diversity were thus examined in 497 putative single copy genes (fig. 1). Average nucleotide diversity (π) was comparable in the two species at all sites ($\pi_{Lm} = 0.0061 \pm 0.0049$, π_{Lvg} 0.0060 \pm 0.0044) as well as at ZFD and FFD sites (fig. 1a). This agreed with similar long-term effective population sizes of both species inferred from the demographic model (fig. 2, supplementary tables S5a,c). The average divergence (d_{xy}) from the outgroup was ca. 2% (fig. 1b). Tajima's D was

negative in both species at all site classes (fig. 1c), which may probably be attributed to population expansions. Tajima's D was three times lower in Lvg ($D_{Lvg} = -0.67 \pm 0.74$, $D_{Lm} = -0.22 \pm 0.83$) and private polymorphisms (Sx) were more numerous in this species (fig. 1d). The inspection of SFS indicates that the surplus of polymorphisms in Lvg can be attributed solely to singletons (fig. 2). Moreover, interspecific differences in Tajima's D and in the number of Sx were most pronounced at ZFD sites (figs 1c,d). These patterns are consistent with stronger demographic expansion in Lvg suggested by the demographic model and resulting differences in intensity of purifying selection. At all site classes shared polymorphisms (Ss) were over four times more abundant than fixed differences (Sf) (fig. 1d). Since we found no evidence of post-divergence gene flow between Lvg and Lm (fig S2, supplementary tables S5a,c), Ss are likely ancestral polymorphisms or independent, recurrent mutations. The fraction of shared polymorphisms was a little lower in ZFD than in FFD sites, consistent with purifying selection on nonsynonymous variants. No significant differences in diversity were found between genes involved in innate (GO:0045087) and adaptive (GO:0002250) immune response (supplementary table S6).

Selection landscapes in newt immune genes

Using the MK-like approach in SnIPRE to estimate selection and constraint we found evidence for the dominant role of purifying selection in newt immune genes. The selection effect allows to detect positive selection or negative selection acting on mildly deleterious alleles. Most genes were classified as neutral while the remaining 20% in Lm and 40% in Lvg appeared to evolve under negative selection, suggesting that selection on mildly deleterious mutations affects twice as many genes in Lvg as in Lm (figs 3a,b). Consequently, genome-wide estimates of population selection coefficient were significantly more negative in Lvg ($\gamma_{Lvg} = -0.48 \pm (SD) 0.28$, $\gamma_{Lm} = -0.33 \pm 0.27$; Mann-Whitney paired test, P < 2.2 × 10⁻¹⁶). When the distribution of selection effect was taken at the face value, no gene appeared under positive selection. However, the power to detect such genes can be significantly reduced due to genome-wide effects of negative selection. It is therefore justified to compare gene-specific selection effects relative to the genome-wide average (Eilertson et al. 2012). Lower bounds of selection effect were above the genome-wide average for 12 Lm and 16 Lvg genes, which are thus

plausible candidates for positive selection (figs 3a,b, supplementary table S7). The constraint effect, a function of the fraction of nonlethal nonsynonymous mutations (f) and the population selection coefficient, is useful to identify cases of strong purifying selection. In both species the constraint effect was negative in almost all genes, suggesting widespread purifying selection against strongly deleterious mutations (figs 3c,d). The average proportion of non-lethal mutations was slightly but significantly lower in Lm ($f_{Lm} = 0.23 \pm (SD) 0.13$, $f_{Lvg} = 0.26 \pm 0.13$; Mann-Whitney paired test, P = 1.2×10^{-14}) and did not differ between genes involved in innate and adaptive immune response (supplementary table S6).

> The distributions of fitness effects estimated for both species were very similar, as shown by the almost identical shape parameters of the gamma distribution (Lm: 0.12 [CI: 0.11-0.13], Lvg: 0.11 [0.11-0.13]). The most abundant class comprised sites under very strong negative selection (Nes > 100, fig. 4) reflecting high constraint identified in the SniPRE analysis (figs 4c,d). Although the 95% credibility intervals for the two species overlap in all selection classes, we note an apparent shift towards sites under very strong selection (Nes>100) in Lm, and towards weakly and moderately selected sites in Lvg (fig. 4). This is consistent with more widespread weak purifying selection in Lvg, but higher constraint in Lm inferred by SnIPRE.

Targets of balancing selection

Putative targets of BS were identified using two composite tests. Test 1 identified genes with an excess of nonsynonymous polymorphisms segregating at appreciable frequencies. Test 2 identified those with an excess of polymorphism compared to divergence and the SFS skewed towards more frequent variants. Test 1 revealed 15 candidates in Lm and 19 in Lvg, 5 of them were common to both species (table 1; supplementary table S8). Test 2 detected 7 candidate genes in Lm and only one in Lvg, all species-specific. In total we found 35 candidates for BS, 20 genes in each species, but only 5 common to both species (table 1).

To further validate the candidates identified by the composite tests we resequenced 32 candidate BS and 88 control (CR) genes in population samples of 19 individuals for each species and also checked for Mendelian segregation in families. Balancing selection causes high diversity and

excess of variants at intermediate frequencies, the pattern expected also if similar paralogs were lumped together. Hence paralogs overlooked at earlier steps of quality control were likely be overrepresented among BS candidates. Indeed, using stringent paralog filters we found that as much as 66% candidate BS genes were actually similar paralogs, over twice as many as CR genes (29%). After paralog removal the dataset included 11 BS candidates (9.1 kb resequenced) and 57 CR genes (11.1 kb resequenced). We compared Tajima's D and the ratio of polymorphism to divergence between these two gene sets to see whether the results from species-wide sequence capture data hold in resequenced population samples. Seven BS candidates were identified as such only in Lm, three only in Lvg and one in both species, but below we used all 11 BS candidates in each species.

In Lm Tajima's D was positive and significantly higher in BS candidates than in CR genes, both at synonymous and nonsynonymous sites (fig 5a; Mann-Whitney test, P = 0.02). On the contrary, in Lvg Tajima's D did not differ between BS candidates and CR genes (Mann-Whitney test, P > 0.05). Other tests based on SFS revealed a similar pattern (figs S3a,b). The π/d_{xy} ratio at nonsynonymous sites was significantly higher in BS candidates in both species (Mann-Whitney test P_{Lm} = 0.02, P_{Lvg} = 0.04, fig. 5b). The similar tendency was also seen for synonymous sites but was not significant (fig. 5b). Thus, the candidate genes indeed exhibit on average more even SFS and excess of nonsynonymous polymorphism to divergence. None of the GO categories were enriched in candidate genes.

Looking at BS candidates individually (supplementary table S9, figs S4, 6) we observed segregating nonsynonymous polymorphisms in all but one gene (*USP7*, 29% of ORF resequenced), but few nonsynonymous polymorphisms were shared between species. In all but three cases Tajima's D in nonsynonymous positions was positive with most prominent examples being *SQSTM1* (1.72; 17 nonsynonymous polymorphisms – S_N), *NFE2L* (1.64; $S_N = 11$), *AQP9* (1.29; $S_N = 2$) and *NBN* (1.24; $S_N = 9$). If BS maintains nonsynonymous polymorphisms, such codons may be detected as significant but polymorphic by methods searching for targets of positive selection. In *NBN* gammamap method inferred three adjacent codons under positive selection segregating in Lm (codon 558 segregates also in Lvg and in codon 553 three nonsynonymous variants segregate), and in *SQSTM1* were four such

codons segregating in Lvg (supplementary table S10). These codons fall in the regions of positive Tajima's D (figs 6a,b) and may be actual targets of BS. An exceptionally high ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous diversity (2.4) was found in *CD40LG* (supplementary table S9).

Discussion

Selection landscape and targets of positive selection

In this first population-genomic study of amphibian immune genes we examined over 600 genes in two evolutionary lineages of *Lissotriton* newts, describing variation and inferring selective pressures. Variation in newt immune genes has been shaped predominantly by widespread purifying selection and strong evolutionary constraint, implying long-term importance of these genes for functioning of the immune system. This prevalent constraint notwithstanding, we identified 23 candidates for positive selection and 11 genes possibly evolving under BS.

Genome scans use diverse approaches to identify targets of positive selection and often find immune genes among the candidates, but overlap between candidates detected in various studies is limited (Clark et al. 2003; Kosiol et al. 2008; Leffler et al. 2013). In newts a large proportion of immune genes, similarly to most protein-coding genes in vertebrates, evolve under purifying selection and many genes are strongly constrained. Distribution of fitness effects of nonsynonymous mutations in newt immune genes is skewed towards strongly deleterious and lethal, similarly to protein-coding genes in chimpanzees (Bataillon et al. 2015) and murid rodents (Halligan et al. 2013). In the face of high constraint, positive selection is expected to be limited to a small number of genes and codons, decreasing the power to detect such signatures. Indeed, candidates for positive selection were identified in this study only using the average genome-wide selection effect as the baseline and should not be considered as strongly supported. We identified 16 outliers in Lvg, and 12 outliers in Lm; these are genes which exhibit significantly higher positive selection effects than average genes.

The candidates for positive selection carry out various immune functions and are not restricted to the components of the adaptive immune system. Assuming functions in newts similar to their mammalian counterparts, candidate genes participate in innate pathways, such as the complement cascade (CFI, C4A, C9), Fc epsilon receptor (FCERI) signaling (CSF2RB, FOS, IL2RB), Toll-like receptor cascades (FOS), cytosolic sensing of pathogen-associated DNA (ZBP1), as well as pathways connected with the adaptive immune system (CD226). Several of the candidate genes sense and directly fight pathogens. Two examples include nucleoporins encoded by NUP153 and NUP214. Nucleoporins are part of the nuclear pore complex, which modulates transcript transport between cell and nucleus upon contact with a virus. Another pathogen-interacting protein is the collectin sub-family member 12 (COLEC12). COLEC12 is a cell surface glycoprotein and a scavenger receptor, which binds and directs bacteria and yeast for phagocytosis (Ohtani et al. 2001). Z-DNA binding protein 1 (ZBP1) is a cytosolic sensor of pathogen DNA, triggering cytokine production. Finally, there are complement component 9 proteins (C9) which do not directly identify microbes, but constitute part of the membrane attack complex destroying bacteria. The complement complex is part of the innate immune system, which initiates a cascade of immune response at the site of infection and activates a mechanism for destroying the pathogen. Interestingly, several members of the complement complex have already been recognized as targets of positive selection in mammals (Kosiol et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2015), including two genes that were among candidates also in newts: CFI and C9. Linking specific molecular adaptations with immunity to pathogens is essential for planning conservation actions in amphibians in the face of quickly spreading emerging diseases (Martel et al. 2014). This could be done by looking at associations between allelic variation and prevalence of various pathogen species and also by examining changes in gene expression level following the pathogen challenge in experimental conditions.

A clear advantage of our study lies in examination of a large number of immune genes, which increases the probability of finding targets of positive selection. However many immune genes form families characterized by high rates of genomic duplication, which are technically challenging to analyze in non-model species without sequenced and well-assembled genomes (Larsen et al. 2014).

Although we intended to focus on single-copy genes, more than 20% of studied genes turned out to have closely related paralogs in the newt genome and had to be excluded from the analyses. Gene duplication is an important source of novel or refined functions in the immune system (Huang et al. 2008; Vilcinskas 2013). Novel gene copies released from the constraint of purifying selection, readily acquire molecular changes which can be of evolutionary importance (Hellgren & Ekblom 2010). Therefore we suspect that the amount of adaptive evolution found in this study could have been underestimated due to exclusion of families of closely related paralogs. Several immune gene families are characterized by high levels of gene duplication, e.g. interferons, defensins, interleukins, chemokine receptor proteins, and their members were shown to follow different evolutionary trajectories, including species-specific adaptations (Metzger & Thomas 2010; Manry et al. 2011; Vasseur et al. 2012). Also our analysis of Toll-like receptors in *Lissotriton* newts found speciesspecific signatures of positive selection in at least three members of this family (Babik et al. 2015). A group of genes important in amphibian immune defense but missing from this study are antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). The numerous AMPs apparently play an essential role in amphibian immunity against fungi and bacteria and may be important targets of positive selection (Tennessen et al. 2009; Roelants et al. 2013). Unfortunately there is only very little information about AMPs in urodeles

(Meng et al. 2013).

Interaction of demography and selection

The two evolutionary lineages differ in the overall strength of purifying selection acting on immune genes. On the one hand more genes are under purifying selection in Lvg, but on the other, strong purifying selection appears more prevalent in Lm. The former is in line with larger current effective population size in Lvg, which makes selection against mildly deleterious mutations more efficient (Gazave et al. 2013). The latter observation is however difficult to explain by simple differences in Ne between the two lineages unless SnIPRE erroneously interprets patterns of nonsynonymous polymorphism as evidence for stronger constraint in Lm. One possibility is that indeed immune genes are more constrained in Lm, for example due to stronger long-term pathogen pressure. It is also possible that nonsynonymous polymorphisms maintained by BS may mislead inferences. There are

however other plausible explanations not necessarily involving differences in selection regimes. Inferences of selection from patterns of molecular variation in nonequilibrium populations are complicated by the differences between neutral sites and those under purifying selection in the time needed for reaching an equilibrium (Brandvain & Wright 2016). Moreover, if demographic expansion is accompanied by spatial expansion, as was likely in Lvg (Pabijan et al. 2015), deleterious mutations can increase in frequency forming the so called expansion load (Peischl & Excoffier 2015) further complicating inferences. Clearly joint reconstruction of demography and selection, a subject of intensive ongoing work (Li et al. 2012), would be desirable to disentangle the effect of nonequilibrium demography from actual differences in selection regimes.

Targets of balancing selection

We put special emphasis on identification of possible targets of BS among newt immune genes. Variation in such genes, often involved in host-pathogen coevolution, may be of considerable adaptive significance and understanding molecular underpinnings of amphibian resistance is key to planning conservation strategies. Detection of BS is however not straightforward, except in cases when it maintains extreme variation and transspecies polymorphism as in MHC genes. Often genetic signatures left by this mode of selection are subtle and easily confounded by various non-selective processes (Fijarczyk & Babik 2015). Here identification of outliers was based on composite tests employing the reconstructed demographic model and the results were validated by comparing variation in candidate genes with that of control genes in independent population samples. The use of composite tests should decrease the rate of false positives due to multiple tests; unfortunately it is unclear how to calculate false discovery rate in this case.

Altogether 11 candidates for BS were detected, mostly encoding proteins that may interact closely with pathogens. Sequestosome-1 (SQSTM1) is an adaptor protein which recognizes ubiquitin coated proteins and targets them for degradation through autophagy. This mechanism can be used by the cell to eliminate intracellular bacteria or virus RNA (Levine et al. 2011). Nibrin (NBN) forms part of the complex that is responsible for the recognition and repair of DNA double stranded breaks, but

some studies show that it can be used for the benefit of a virus for its efficient replication (Anacker et al. 2014). The ubiquitin-specific protease encoded by USP7 interacts with herpes simplex virus type-1 immediate early protein ICP0 through processes of deubiquitinization and ubiquitinization. Expression of ICP0 is necessary for reactivation of viral genome and is stabilized via recruiting USP7 to remove ubiquitin (Antrobus & Boutell 2008). Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) is another protein, which can be exploited by pathogens. By boosting expression of SOCS3, viruses downregulate cytokines and inhibit proinflammatory response of the host, facilitating their replication (Okumura et al. 2015). Another interesting gene APP encodes amyloid beta (A4) precursor protein. APP is cleaved to form a number of amyloid peptides, which are known to be associated with many human diseases, however some beta amyloid products exhibit antimicrobial properties similar to antimicrobial peptides (Wang et al. 2012). Interestingly another candidate gene, *ELK1* encodes a transcription factor, which inhibits transcription of genes responsible for processing of APP proteins (Besnard et al. 2011). Although *ELK1* and *APP* were identified as BS candidates in different species (Lm and Lvg, respectively), nevertheless signatures of selection within these two genes suggest that production of amyloid peptides can be influenced by interaction with pathogens. Signatures of BS were also detected in aquaporin 9 (AQP9), a water-selective membrane channel responsible for remodeling of cell shape. Bacteria induce expression of AOP9 leading to changes in size and morphology of macrophages, which affects the outcome of infection (Holm et al. 2015). The Red Queen hypothesis predicts that coevolutionary arms race between host and pathogen molecules should involve mostly host genes responsible for sensing pathogens. We found examples of genes involved in pathogen recognition among candidates for BS (APP, SQSTM1) and positive selection (e.g. COLEC12, ZBP1). However, among BS candidates we also found genes which regulate important cell processes and control immune response (NBN, USP7, SOCS3, AQP9). Pathogens may target cell signaling, compromising the host's ability to defend and increasing their own replication (Alto & Orth 2012). Balancing selection is not confined only to host ability to recognize foreign and harmful factors, but the conflict between host and pathogen interest can also drive molecular evolution of host regulators of immune response or other basic cell processes (Wilson et al. 2006).

Recently new model-based tests aiming at identification of targets of BS have been proposed (DeGiorgio et al. 2014; Gao et al. 2015). They have good statistical properties and could be used to further validate candidates identified in this study. These tests however require information from continuous genomic regions around the putative targets of BS. Unfortunately currently obtaining such long range genomic information in urodele amphibians is challenging as their huge genomes are still refractory to sequencing and assembly (Keinath et al. 2015). Until these challenges are overcome, an interesting option would be to identify the regions of interest in large insert (BAC or fosmid) libraries, resequence them and use this data to test for BS.

The BS candidates identified in the current study will be useful for testing whether genes affected by BS are more prone to interspecific introgression than other genes in the genome. Two rationales underlie this hypothesis: i) theory predicts that, because introgressed alleles are initially rare, BS operating through rare-allele advantage facilitates their establishment in the recipient species (Schierup et al. 2000), ii) scant evidence suggests plausibility of the process but its generality and evolutionary importance are unknown (Castric et al. 2008; Abi-Rached et al. 2011; Grossen et al. 2014). Patterns of allele sharing and allele frequency differentiation among populations are consistent with adaptive introgression of MHC class II genes between Lm and Lv in central Europe (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2012). It remains however unknown, whether adaptive introgression is a peculiar feature of MHC genes or is it more widespread among genes evolving under BS. The Lm/Lv system is particularly well suited to test this hypothesis, because patterns of relationships and gene flow in this group allow formulation of predictions that facilitate distinguishing the effect of introgression from incomplete lineage sorting (ILS), expected to be prevalent in BS genes (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2012). Introgression of genes under BS in amphibians may be important for both their adaptive potential and conservation. Amphibians often show old intraspecific genetic structure and reproductive isolation develops relatively slowly in this group (Vences & Wake 2007). Range changes triggered by, e.g., climatic fluctuations, create opportunity for episodic contact and genetic exchange. As long as reproductive isolation is not complete, adaptive variants introgress easily between the differentiating lineages (Barton 1979). Such lineages may thus effectively share a

Downloaded from http://gbe.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on October 13, 2016

common pool of adaptive variation (Rieseberg et al. 2004). Variants that emerged during periods of isolation would contribute to the pool through episodes of hybridization and this process should be particularly efficient in genes evolving under BS. Secondary contact followed by hybridization would thus boost adaptive potential of interacting lineages and alleviate the effects of past bottlenecks.

In conclusion, this first population-genomic study of amphibian immune genes showed that an overwhelming majority of immune genes in two *Lissotriton* newts are characterized by high evolutionary constraint. Patterns of synonymous and nonsynonymous variation indicate more widespread selection against mildly deleterious mutations in *L. vulgaris graecus*. In spite of the overall sequence conservation we find signatures of positive and balancing selection in genes, which are involved both in pathogen recognition and in regulation of immune response. We provide a list of candidate genes potentially involved in resistance and defense against pathogens, which are worth further exploration in newts and other amphibians.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michał Stuglik and Marta Niedzicka for bioinformatics support and three anonymous reviewers for insightful comments. We are grateful to the Center for Medical Genomics OMICRON, Jagiellonian University, Medical College in Krakow for access to the sonicator system which greatly facilitated our work. The work was supported by the Polish National Science Center grant UMO-2012/04/A/NZ8/00662 to WB, Jagiellonian University grant DS/WBiNoZ/INoS/762/15 and in part by PL-Grid infrastructure.

References

Abi-Rached L et al. 2011. The shaping of modern human immune systems by multiregional admixture with archaic humans. Science. 334:89–94.

Alto NM, Orth K. 2012. Subversion of cell signaling by pathogens. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 4:a006114–a006114.

Anacker DC, Gautam D, Gillespie KA, Chappell WH, Moody CA. 2014. Productive replication of human papillomavirus 31 requires DNA repair factor Nbs1. J Virol. 88:8528–8544.

Antrobus R, Boutell C. 2008. Identification of a novel higher molecular weight isoform of USP7/HAUSP that interacts with the Herpes simplex virus type-1 immediate early protein ICP0. Virus Res. 137:64–71.

Azevedo L, Serrano C, Amorim A, Cooper DN. 2015. Trans-species polymorphism in humans and the great apes is generally maintained by balancing selection that modulates the host immune response. Hum Genomics. 9:21.

Babik W et al. 2015. Constraint and adaptation in newt Toll-like receptor genes. Genome Biol Evol. 7:81–95.

Babik W et al. 2005. Phylogeography of two European newt species-discordance between mtDNA and morphology. Mol Ecol. 14:2475–2491.

Barreiro LB et al. 2009. Evolutionary dynamics of human Toll-like receptors and their different contributions to host defense. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000562.

Barton N. 1979. The dynamics of hybrid zones. Heredity. 43:341–359.

Bataille A et al. 2013. Genetic evidence for a high diversity and wide distribution of endemic strains of the pathogenic chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in wild Asian amphibians. Mol Ecol. 22:4196–4209.

Bataille A et al. 2015. Susceptibility of amphibians to chytridiomycosis is associated with MHC class II conformation. Proc Biol Sci. 282.

Bataillon T et al. 2015. Inference of purifying and positive selection in three subspecies of chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) from exome sequencing. Genome Biol Evol. 7:1122–1132.

Berger L et al. 2016. History and recent progress on chytridiomycosis in amphibians. Fungal Ecology. 19:89–99.

Besnard A, Galan-Rodriguez B, Vanhoutte P, Caboche J. 2011. Elk-1 a transcription factor with multiple facets in the brain. Front Neurosci. 5:35.

Boehm T. 2012. Evolution of vertebrate immunity. Curr Biol. 22:R722–R732.

Brandvain Y, Wright SI. 2016. The limits of natural selection in a nonequilibrium world. Trends Genet. 32:201–210.

Breuer K et al. 2013. InnateDB: systems biology of innate immunity and beyond-recent updates and continuing curation. Nucleic Acids Res. 41:D1228-1233.

Cagliani R et al. 2008. The signature of long-standing balancing selection at the human defensin β -1 promoter. Genome Biol. 9:R143.

Castric V, Bechsgaard J, Schierup MH, Vekemans X. 2008. Repeated adaptive introgression at a gene under multiallelic balancing selection. PLoS Genet. 4:e1000168.

Clark AG et al. 2003. Positive selection in the human genome inferred from human-chimp-mouse orthologous gene alignments. Cold Spring Harb Sym. 68:471–477.

Das S et al. 2010. Comparative genomics and evolution of the alpha-defensin multigene family in primates. Mol Biol Evol. 27:2333–2343.

DeGiorgio M, Lohmueller KE, Nielsen R. 2014. A model-based approach for identifying signatures of ancient balancing selection in genetic data. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004561.

Eden E, Navon R, Steinfeld I, Lipson D, Yakhini Z. 2009. GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics. 10:48.

Eilertson KE, Booth JG, Bustamante CD. 2012. SnIPRE: selection inference using a Poisson random effects model. PLoS Comput Biol. 8:e1002806.

Eizaguirre C, Lenz TL, Traulsen A, Milinski M. 2009. Speciation accelerated and stabilized by pleiotropic major histocompatibility complex immunogenes. Ecol Lett. 12:5–12.

Ekblom R, French L, Slate J, Burke T. 2010. Evolutionary analysis and expression profiling of zebra finch immune genes. Genome Biol Evol. 2:781–790.

Ellison AR et al. 2015. More than skin deep: functional genomic basis for resistance to amphibian chytridiomycosis. Genome Biol Evol. 7:286–298.

Eyre-Walker A, Woolfit M, Phelps T. 2006. The distribution of fitness effects of new deleterious amino acid mutations in humans. Genetics. 173:891–900.

Fijarczyk A, Babik W. 2015. Detecting balancing selection in genomes: limits and prospects. Mol. Ecol. 24:3529–3545.

Fisher MC et al. 2012. Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. Nature. 484:186–194.

Fumagalli M et al. 2011. Signatures of environmental genetic adaptation pinpoint pathogens as the main selective pressure through human evolution Akey, JM, editor. PLoS Genet. 7:e1002355.

Fumagalli M, Vieira FG, Linderoth T, Nielsen R. 2014. ngsTools: methods for population genetics analyses from next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 30:1486–1487.

Gao Z, Przeworski M, Sella G. 2015. Footprints of ancient-balanced polymorphisms in genetic variation data from closely related species. Evolution. 69:431–446.

Gazave E, Chang D, Clark AG, Keinan A. 2013. Population growth inflates the per-individual number of deleterious mutations and reduces their mean effect. Genetics. 195:969–978.

Grossen C, Keller L, Biebach I, The International Goat Genome Consortium, Croll D. 2014. Introgression from domestic goat generated variation at the Major Histocompatibility Complex of Alpine ibex. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004438.

Halligan DL et al. 2013. Contributions of protein-coding and regulatory change to adaptive molecular evolution in murid rodents. PLoS Genet. 9:e1003995.

Harpur BA, Zayed A. 2013. Accelerated evolution of innate immunity proteins in social insects: adaptive evolution or relaxed constraint? Mol Biol Evol. 30:1665–1674.

Hedrick PW. 2011. Population genetics of malaria resistance in humans. Heredity. 107:283–304.

Hellgren O, Ekblom R. 2010. Evolution of a cluster of innate immune genes (beta-defensins) along the ancestral lines of chicken and zebra finch. Immunome Res. 6:3.

Holm A, Karlsson T, Vikström E. 2015. Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasl/rhll quorum sensing genes promote phagocytosis and aquaporin 9 redistribution to the leading and trailing regions in macrophages. Front Microbiol. 6:915.

Huang S et al. 2008. Genomic analysis of the immune gene repertoire of amphioxus reveals extraordinary innate complexity and diversity. Genome Res. 18:1112–1126.

Hudson RR. 2002. Generating samples under a Wright-Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. Bioinformatics. 18:337–338.

Keinath MC et al. 2015. Initial characterization of the large genome of the salamander *Ambystoma mexicanum* using shotgun and laser capture chromosome sequencing. Sci Rep. 5:16413.

Kim SY et al. 2011. Estimation of allele frequency and association mapping using next-generation sequencing data. BMC Bioinformatics. 12:231.

Korneliussen TS, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R. 2014. ANGSD: Analysis of next generation sequencing data. BMC Bioinformatics. 15:356.

Kosiol C et al. 2008. Patterns of positive selection in six mammalian genomes. PLoS Genet. 4:e1000144.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Meth. 9:357–359.

Larsen PA, Campbell CR, Yoder AD. 2014. Next-generation approaches to advancing ecoimmunogenomic research in critically endangered primates. Mol Ecol Resour. 14:1198–1209.

Leffler EM et al. 2013. Multiple instances of ancient balancing selection shared between humans and chimpanzees. Science. 339:1578–1582.

Levine B, Mizushima N, Virgin HW. 2011. Autophagy in immunity and inflammation. Nature. 469:323–335.

Li H et al. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 25:2078–2079.

Li J et al. 2012. Joint analysis of demography and selection in population genetics: where do we stand and where could we go? Mol Ecol. 21:28–44.

Librado P, I data. Bioint Linnenbrind the genus / Manry J et 2759. Martel A et salamande McKenna A generation Meng P et

SMBE

Librado P, Rozas J. 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics. 25:1451–1452.

Linnenbrink M et al. 2011. Long-term balancing selection at the blood group-related gene B4galnt2 in the genus *Mus* (Rodentia; Muridae). Mol Biol Evol. 28:2999–3003.

Manry J et al. 2011. Evolutionary genetic dissection of human interferons. J Exp Med. 208:2747–2759.

Martel A et al. 2014. Recent introduction of a chytrid fungus endangers Western Palearctic salamanders. Science. 346:630–631.

McKenna A et al. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing nextgeneration DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20:1297–1303.

Meng P et al. 2013. The first salamander defensin antimicrobial peptide. PLoS One. 8:e83044.

Metzger KJ, Thomas MA. 2010. Evidence of positive selection at codon sites localized in extracellular domains of mammalian CC motif chemokine receptor proteins. BMC Evol Biol. 10:1.

Nadachowska K, Babik W. 2009. Divergence in the face of gene flow: the case of two newts (amphibia: salamandridae). Mol Biol Evol. 26:829–841.

Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Zieliński P, Radwan J, Babik W. 2012. Interspecific hybridization increases MHC class II diversity in two sister species of newts. Mol Ecol. 21:887–906.

Niedzicka M, Fijarczyk A, Dudek K, Stuglik M, Babik W. 2016. Molecular Inversion Probes for targeted resequencing in non-model organisms. Sci Rep. 6:24051.

Nielsen R, Korneliussen T, Albrechtsen A, Li Y, Wang J. 2012. SNP calling, genotype calling, and sample allele frequency estimation from New-Generation Sequencing data. PLoS One. 7:e37558.

Obbard DJ, Welch JJ, Kim K-W, Jiggins FM. 2009. Quantifying adaptive evolution in the *Drosophila* immune system. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000698.

Ohtani K et al. 2001. The membrane-type collectin CL-P1 is a scavenger receptor on vascular endothelial cells. J Biol Chem. 276:44222–44228.

Okumura A et al. 2015. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 is an inducible host factor that regulates virus egress during Ebola virus infection. J Virol. 89:10399–10406.

Ortutay C, Vihinen M. 2009. Identification of candidate disease genes by integrating Gene Ontologies and protein-interaction networks: case study of primary immunodeficiencies. Nucleic Acids Res. 37:622–628.

Pabijan M et al. 2015. The dissection of a Pleistocene refugium: phylogeography of the smooth newt, Lissotriton vulgaris, in the Balkans. J Biogeogr. 42:671–683.

Pedersen BS. 2014. Aligning sequence from molecular inversion probes. bioRxiv. 7260.

Peischl S, Excoffier L. 2015. Expansion load: recessive mutations and the role of standing genetic variation. Mol Ecol. 24:2084–2094.

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 26:841–842.

Quintana-Murci L, Clark AG. 2013. Population genetic tools for dissecting innate immunity in humans. Nat Rev Immunol. 13:280–293.

Rieseberg LH, Church SA, Morjan CL. 2004. Integration of populations and differentiation of species. New Phytol. 161:59–69.

Robert J, Cohen N. 2011. The genus *Xenopus* as a multispecies model for evolutionary and comparative immunobiology of the 21st century. Dev Comp Immunol. 35:916–923.

Roelants K et al. 2013. Origin and functional diversification of an amphibian defense peptide arsenal. PLoS Genet. 9:e1003662.

Savage AE, Kiemnec-Tyburczy KM, Ellison AR, Fleischer RC, Zamudio KR. 2014. Conservation and divergence in the frog immunome: pyrosequencing and de novo assembly of immune tissue transcriptomes. Gene. 542:98–108.

Savage AE, Zamudio KR. 2011. MHC genotypes associate with resistance to a frog-killing fungus. PNAS. 108:16705–16710.

Schierup MH, Vekemans X, Charlesworth D. 2000. The effect of subdivision on variation at multiallelic loci under balancing selection. Genet Res. 76:51–62.

Smith MA, Green DM. 2005. Dispersal and the metapopulation paradigm in amphibian ecology and conservation: are all amphibian populations metapopulations? Ecography. 28:110–128.

Spurgin LG, Richardson DS. 2010. How pathogens drive genetic diversity: MHC, mechanisms and misunderstandings. Proc Biol Sci. 277:979–988.

Stadler T, Haubold B, Merino C, Stephan W, Pfaffelhuber P. 2009. The impact of sampling schemes on the site frequency spectrum in nonequilibrium subdivided populations. Genetics. 182:205–216.

Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2003. A comparison of bayesian methods for haplotype reconstruction from population genotype data. Am J Hum Genet. 73:1162–1169.

Stuglik MT, Babik W, Prokop Z, Radwan J. 2014. Alternative reproductive tactics and sex-biased gene expression: the study of the bulb mite transcriptome. Ecol Evol. 4:623–632.

Tarazona-Santos E et al. 2013. Evolutionary dynamics of the human NADPH oxidase genes CYBB, CYBA, NCF2, and NCF4: functional implications. Mol Biol Evol. 30:2157–2167.

Teixeira JC et al. 2015. Long-term balancing selection in LAD1 maintains a missense trans-species polymorphism in humans, chimpanzees, and bonobos. Mol Biol Evol. 32:1186–1196.

Tennessen JA et al. 2009. Variations in the expressed antimicrobial peptide repertoire of northern leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*) populations suggest intraspecies differences in resistance to pathogens. Dev Comp Immunol. 33:1247–1257.

Tennessen JA, Blouin MS. 2008. Balancing selection at a frog antimicrobial peptide locus: Fluctuating immune effector alleles? Mol Biol Evol. 25:2669–2680.

Těšický M, Vinkler M. 2015. Trans-species polymorphism in immune genes: general pattern or MHC-restricted phenomenon? J Immunol Res. 2015:838035.

Vasseur E et al. 2012. The evolutionary landscape of cytosolic microbial sensors in humans. Am J Hum Genet. 91:27–37.

Vences M, Wake DB. 2007. Speciation, species boundaries and phylogeography of amphibians. In: Amphibian Biology. Heatwole, H & Tyler, MJ, editors. Chipping Norton: Surrey Beatty and Sons. pp. 2613–2671.

Vilcinskas A. 2013. Evolutionary plasticity of insect immunity. J Insect Physiol. 59:123–129.

Wakeley J. 2004. Metapopulation models for historical inference. Mol Ecol. 13:865–875.

Wang L et al. 2012. Antimicrobial activity of human islet amyloid polypeptides: an insight into amyloid peptides' connection with antimicrobial peptides. Biol Chem. 393:641–646.

Webb AE et al. 2015. Adaptive evolution as a predictor of species-specific innate immune response. Mol Biol Evol. 32:1717–1729.

Wilson DJ, Hernandez RD, Andolfatto P, Przeworski M. 2011. A population genetics-phylogenetics approach to inferring natural selection in coding sequences. PLoS Genet. 7:e1002395.

Wilson JN et al. 2006. A hallmark of balancing selection is present at the promoter region of interleukin 10. Genes Immun. 7:680–683.

Wlasiuk G, Nachman MW. 2010. Adaptation and constraint at Toll-like receptors in primates. Mol Biol Evol. 27:2172–2186.

You X et al. 2014. Mudskipper genomes provide insights into the terrestrial adaptation of amphibious fishes. Nat Commun. 5:5594.

Zieliński P et al. 2013. No evidence for nuclear introgression despite complete mtDNA replacement in the Carpathian newt (*Lissotriton montandoni*). Mol Ecol. 22:1884–1903.

Zieliński P, Dudek K, Stuglik MT, Liana M, Babik W. 2014. Single nucleotide polymorphisms reveal genetic structuring of the Carpathian newt and provide evidence of interspecific gene flow in the nuclear genome. PLoS One. 9:e97431.

Zieliński P, Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Dudek K, Babik W. 2016. Divergence history of the Carpathian and smooth newts modelled in space and time. Mol Ecol. doi: 10.1111/mec.13724.

Tables

Table 1. Putative targets of balancing selection. List of genes identified with composite tests 1 or 2 as targets of balancing selection in *L. montandoni* (Lm) and *L. v. graecus* (Lvg). Test 1 identified genes showing an excess of nonsynonymous polymorphisms segregating at intermediate frequencies: a combination of significant McDonald-Kreitman test conducted on ungenotyped (MK¹) or genotyped (MK²) data and not significant or significantly positive Tajima's D (TD). Test 2 identified genes with high ratio of polymorphism to divergence showing an excess of polymorphisms segregating at high frequencies: a combination of increased polymorphism-to-divergence ratio (π/d_{xy}) and significantly positive Tajima's D (TajD) or Fu & Li's F (FuF) or Fu & Li's D (FuD). Test 2 was performed for zero-fold degenerate (ZFD, ⁰) and four-fold degenerate (FFD, ⁴) sites. *P*-values in bold indicate test combinations determining inclusion of a particular gene as candidate. Symbols of genes which were confirmed as single-copy are in bold. NA – not applicable.

Gen		
	Table 1	

Table 1											
		Test 1		Test 2 - ZFD				om h	Test 2 - FFD		
Gene	Species	$MK^1 + TD$	$MK^2 + TD$	TajD ⁰	FuF ⁰	FuD^0	π/d_{xy}^{0}	TajD ⁴	FuF ⁴	FuD ⁴	π/d_{xy}^{4}
AQP9	Lm	0.28	NA	0.05	0.02*	0.11	<1E-4*	0.31	0.69	0.8	0.11
BCL3	Lm	0.03*	0.76	0.83	0.95	0.95	0.32	0.69	0.82	0.82	0.87
CYLD	Lm	0.02*	0.06	0.75	0.79	0.75	0.02*	0.46 g	0.8	0.85	0.94
ELK1	Lm	NA	NA	0.66	0.47	0.42	0.3	0.62	0.15	0.02*	0.02*
EPS8	Lm	0.25	0.03*	0.39	0.38	0.42	0.02*	0.90	0.84	0.73	0.57
IL17RD	Lm	0.02*	0.21	0.63	0.68	0.68	0.02*	0.50 ਤੋਂ	0.09	0.02*	0.63
IL28RA	Lm	NA	NA	0.22	0.03*	0.02*	0.22	0.20 g	0.05*	0.05*	0.03*
NBN	Lm	0.1	0.03*	0.56	0.52	0.49	0.48	0.51 ^g	0.52	0.52	0.88
NFE2L2	Lm	0.17	0.01*	0.83	0.97	0.98	0.18	0.43 g	0.4	0.43	0.7
PAFAH1B1	Lm	4E-3*	0.04*	0.84	0.87	0.83	<1E-4*	$0.14 \frac{q}{10}$	0.21	0.38	0.24
PRDX6	Lm	0.04*	4E-4*	0.67	0.68	0.63	0.08	0.40 .20	0.29	0.3	0.46
PRELID1	Lm	0.23	0.45	0.93	0.39	0.04*	0.01*	0.95 5	0.83	0.7	0.45
RNF41	Lm	5E-3*	0.22	0.52	0.8	0.82	NA	0.31	0.38	0.44	0.27
SEC61A1	Lm	0.01*	1	0.43	0.11	0.04*	<1E-4*	0.27	0.06	0.04*	0.01*
SIGLEC1	Lm	0.01*	0.51	0.88	0.76	0.63	0.02*	0.67	0.7	0.68	0.31
SOCS3	Lm	0.12	0.03*	0.64	0.68	0.68	0.65	0.26	0.18	0.21	0.96
SOD1	Lm	0.01*	0.11	0.71	0.72	0.66	0.71	0.81	0.65	0.5	0.96
TAX1BP1	Lm	0.82	0.35	0.10	0.03*	0.06	0.04*	0.23	0.24	0.35	0.07
UBA52	Lm	0.02*	1	0.33	0.06	0.01*	<1E-4*	0.26	0.02*	1E-3*	0.33
USP7	Lm	1E-3*	1	0.62	0.55	0.50*	0.01*	0.68	0.41	0.28	0.78

Gen	

Table 1 cd

Table 1 cd Downloaded												
		Tes	st 1	Test 2 - ZFD				rom	Test 2 - FFD			
Gene	Species	$MK^1 + TD$	$MK^2 + TD$	TajD ⁰	FuF ⁰	FuD^0	π/d_{xy}^{0}	TajD ⁴	FuF ⁴	FuD ⁴	π/d_{xy}^{4}	
AAMP	Lvg	0.04*	NA	0.44	0.58	0.59	<1E-4*	0.73 🙀	0.38	0.21	0.7	
AKIRIN2	Lvg	0.6	NA	0.05*	0.03*	0.14	<1E-4*	0.28	0.22	0.23	0.09	
APP	Lvg	0.02*	1	0.75	0.7	0.63	NA	0.54	0.69	0.71	0.66	
CANX	Lvg	0.35	0.05*	0.38	0.5	0.56	0.04*	0.25	0.11	0.1	0.22	
CD40LG	Lvg	0.09	0.04*	0.65	0.66	0.64	0.11	0.71	0.37	0.25	0.86	
CEBPG	Lvg	0.04*	0.56	0.94	0.97	0.96	NA	0.70 පූ	0.85	0.85	0.3	
GP5	Lvg	0.02*	0.12	0.33	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.31 ^{og}	0.2	0.2	0.74	
HSF1	Lvg	0.03*	0.07	0.70	0.62	0.54	0.07	0.85 g	0.54	0.31	0.86	
ITFG1	Lvg	0.01*	NA	0.62	0.65	0.63	<1E-4*	0.52 g	0.52	0.51	0.72	
KLHL6	Lvg	0.03*	0.3	0.85	0.66	0.51	NA	0.23 ^e	0.08	0.09	0.02*	
OTUD5	Lvg	0.05*	0.01*	0.46	0.48	0.46	0.36	0.22 ¹³	0.39	0.5	0.83	
PAMR1	Lvg	0.02*	0.45	0.80	0.96	0.96	0.06	$0.65 \frac{016}{6}$	0.51	0.43	0.78	
PRDX6	Lvg	0.22	0.02*	0.29	0.58	0.67	0.07	0.35	0.27	0.29	0.22	
SEC61A1	Lvg	1E-3*	0.51	0.76	0.28	0.11	<1E-4*	0.73	0.42	0.25	0.1	
SIGLEC1	Lvg	4E-3*	0.13	0.93	0.96	0.95	0.05*	0.68	0.55	0.46	0.62	
SQSTM1	Lvg	0.57	0.02*	0.16	0.23	0.32	0.31	0.62	0.68	0.65	0.83	
TAP1	Lvg	0.02*	0.2	0.79	0.85	0.82	0.01*	0.44	0.14	0.08	0.03*	
TRIM32	Lvg	0.01*	0.03*	0.89	0.96	0.95	0.01*	0.68	0.71	0.67	0.3	
UBA52	Lvg	4E-4*	<1E-4*	0.74	0.96	0.96	<1E-4*	0.94	0.95	0.87	0.93	
USP7	Lvg	1E-4*	1	0.76	0.79	0.74	<1E-4*	0.78	0.68	0.56	0.95	

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Diversity and divergence of 497 newt immune genes estimated for all, fourfold (FFD) and zerofold degenerate sites (ZFD). (a) nucleotide diversity (π), (b) divergence from the outgroup (d_{xy}), (c) Tajima's D, (d) number of segregating sites (S, gray) and fixed substitutions (Sf, black) between *Lissotriton montandoni* (Lm) and *L. vulgaris graecus* (Lvg). Boxplots show medians with upper and lower quartiles. Whiskers indicate the most extreme values which do not exceed the quartile value plus the 1.5 times the interquartile. The outliers are marked as empty dots.

Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood estimates of site frequency spectra at fourfold (FFD) and zerofold (ZFD) degenerate sites in Lm and Lvg. Each bin, except the first (singletons), sums up three consecutive frequency classes.

Fig 3. Selection and constraint effects in 497 newt immune genes. (a) and (b) sizes of selection effect in Lm and Lvg, respectively, sorted in the increasing order. Black line follows the average, vertical lines span the Bayesian credibility intervals. Dashed line indicates neutrality, red line indicates genome-wide average. Genes with negative selection effect are marked in blue. Genes with selection effect significantly larger than the genome-wide average are shown in orange. (c) and (d) selection vs. constraint effect in Lm and Lvg, respectively. Downloaded from http://gbe.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on October 13, 2016

Fig. 4. The distribution of fitness effects of deleterious mutations in Lm and Lvg estimated using the method of Eyre-Walker et al. (2006); 95% credible intervals are shown.

Fig. 5. Comparison of Tajima's D (a) and π/d_{xy} (b) between control genes (CR) and balancing selection candidates (BS). Medians of statistics are compared between BS and CR genes, separately in synonymous (S), nonsynonymous (NS) sites in Lm and Lvg.

Fig. 6. Tajima's D estimated in 100 bp sliding windows with a step of 25 bp for two genes, *NBN* (a) and *SQSTM1* (b). Asterisks indicate location of segregating codons under selection. Vertical gray lines separate sequenced regions belonging to distinct exons.

Downloaded from http://gbe.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on October 13, 2016

Figures



Fig. 1. Diversity and divergence of 497 newt immune genes estimated for all, fourfold (FFD) and zerofold degenerate sites (ZFD). (a) nucleotide diversity (π), (b) divergence from the outgroup (dxy), (c) Tajima's D, (d) number of segregating sites (S, gray) and fixed substitutions (S_f, black) between *Lissotriton montandoni* (Lm) and *L. vulgaris graecus* (Lvg). Boxplots show medians with upper and lower quartiles. Whiskers indicate the most extreme values which do not exceed the quartile value plus the 1.5 times the interquartile. The outliers are marked as empty dots.



Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood estimates of site frequency spectra at fourfold (FFD) and zerofold (ZFD) degenerate sites in Lm and Lvg. Each bin, except the first (singletons), sums up three consecutive frequency classes.



Fig 3. Selection and constraint effects in 497 newt immune genes. (a) and (b) sizes of selection effect in Lm and Lvg, respectively, sorted in the increasing order. Black line follows the average, vertical lines span the Bayesian credibility intervals. Dashed line indicates neutrality, red line indicates genome-wide average. Genes with negative selection effect are marked in blue. Genes with selection effect significantly larger than the genome-wide average are shown in orange. (c) and (d) selection vs. constraint effect in Lm and Lvg, respectively.



Fig. 4. The distribution of fitness effects of deleterious mutations in Lm and Lvg estimated using the method of Eyre-Walker et al. (2006); 95% credible intervals are shown.



Fig. 5. Comparison of Tajima's D (a) and π/d_{xy} (b) between control genes (CR) and balancing selection candidates (BS). Medians of statistics are compared between BS and CR genes, separately in synonymous (S), nonsynonymous (NS) sites in Lm and Lvg.



Fig. 6. Tajima's D estimated in 100 bp sliding windows with a step of 25 bp for two genes, *NBN* (a) and *SQSTM1* (b). Asterisks indicate location of segregating codons under selection. Vertical gray lines separate sequenced regions belonging to distinct exons.